

## ASSESSMENT RUBRIC "Peer Review"

Writer's Name:

Date:

Peer Reviewer's Name:

Category	5	4	3	2	1	0
<b>Content:</b> Degree to which the writer provides thoughtful and relevant responses	Addresses task effectively. Ideas are clearly stated and supported with concrete, relevant detail.	Addresses task effectively, <b>but</b> some ideas are not well stated. Contains some relevant detail.	Responds adequately to overall task, <b>but</b> lacks appropriate or sufficient detail or clear focus.	Responds generally to task, <b>but</b> has major gaps. May be unfocused or unclear. Little or no supporting detail.	Responds minimally to task with limited relationship to topic. General meaning is unclear.	Incomprehensible, irrelevant, or no response.
<b>Mechanics:</b> Degree to which the writer demonstrates correct grammar, spelling, capitalization, and punctuation	Minimum or no errors.	Few minor errors that do not distract the reader.	Some errors that distract the reader.	Frequent errors that require the reader to infer meaning.	Multiple errors that interfere with understanding.	Incomprehensible, irrelevant, or no response.

1. Tell the writer one thing that you really like about the writing.
  
2. Does the writer answer the questions appropriately?
  
3. Do you think the writing needs more information or details? If so where?
  
4. What are 2 or 3 suggestions that you have for the writer?